

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4565-03/2025

Muhammad Saddique Awan

Vs

Military Land and Cantonment Department

November 04, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body. The applicant, vide his information request dated 22-01-2025 addressed to the Director General, ML&C Rawalpindi, sought the approved site plan/drawing of 43 properties detailed in Para 2 of the information request, treating the said properties as encroachments on both sides of Rang Road, Rawalpindi Cantonment Board. The requested information was not provided to the applicant; hence, he filed the instant appeal.

2. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Commission issued notice to the public body, which, vide letter dated 12-05-2025, submitted that the requested information pertains to private properties situated on both sides of Rang Road. It was further stated that the applicant's request contains business names of the properties, making it difficult to trace the relevant record without the exact name of the owner, property number, plot number, Khasra number, and date of approval of the building plan. The public body also contended that the drawings/approved plans of buildings contain detailed structural information that may potentially be misused for theft or other unlawful activities; therefore, the copies of building plans cannot be provided.
3. Copy of the written reply was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 14-05-2025 (RGL No. 1530813750); however, no objections were filed by him.
4. Considering the serious concern regarding storm water drainage, the Commission called the CEO, Rawalpindi Cantonment Board, and sensitized him about the matter. Thereafter, vide letter dated 09-09-2025, the public body furnished a list of 43 properties disclosing the names and addresses of owners, CBR numbers, and approval dates. Subsequently, vide letter dated 16-09-2025, the public body submitted that the commercial properties mentioned in the information request are located on Rang Road and along the main road, and that there is no encroachment on the nullah/drain in respect of the said properties. It was further informed that a request had been made

to the Assistant Commissioner (Cantt), Rawalpindi, for joint demarcation to ascertain encroachments on both sides of the nullah; however, the demarcation could not be conducted due to the non-availability of the Naib Tehsildar, Gardawar, and Halqa Patwari. Moreover, it was added that the subject land is classified as private land under the management of the Revenue Department, Government of Punjab.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4678-04/2025

Faisal Afzal TaNo li

Vs

Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS)

November 04, 2025

Nadeem Akhtar, Departmental Representative, PIMS appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the applicant vide letter dated 10-10-2025 under RGL No. 154262171. No objection has been received from the applicant. It appears that the applicant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4367-01/2025

Ch. Ashraf Ali Gujjar

Vs

Zarai Taraqiati Bank Limited (ZTBL)

November 04, 2025

Muhammad Usman, OG-II, ZTBL appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 04-11-2025 has furnished information in respect of Query No. 4, 5, and 6, whereas the remaining information has already been provided and stands shared with the applicant.
2. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request and the written reply furnished by the public body and found the response to be adequate, objective, and addressing the information request. Copy of the written reply furnished today be shared with the applicant.
3. Since all the required information has been furnished and shared with the applicant, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4809-07/2025

Iftikhar Hussain Shah

Vs

Zarai Taraqati Bank Limited (ZTBL)

November 04, 2025

Applicant present in person. Muhammad Usman, OG-II, ZTBL appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 04-11-2025 has furnished certified copy of the inquiry report prepared by Mohsin Raza Khan, AVP, and Muhammad Khan, OG-II. Copy of the same has been handed over to the applicant, who has expressed satisfaction therewith and submitted that he does not want to pursue this appeal any further.
2. In view of the above, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4903-08/2025

Agha Hassan Khurshid

Vs

Zarai Taraqati Bank Limited (ZTBL)

November 04, 2025

Muhammad Usman, OG-II, ZTBL appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body vide letter dated 07-10-2025 furnished written reply addressing all the queries of the applicant. In respect of Query No. 1 and 2 of the information request, it was submitted that the public body does not hold the said record, whereas Queries No. 3 and 4 were adequately addressed. The Commission shared the said reply with the applicant, who raised objections regarding the non-existence of the record and requested initiation of proceedings against the representative of the public body under Section 20 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
2. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, the written reply of the public body, and the rejoinder filed by the applicant, and found that the responses to Queries No. 3 and 4 have been categorically provided by the public body. However, as far as the non-existence of information in respect of Queries No. 1 and 2 is concerned, the public body has filed its written reply with due responsibility. If the applicant believes that the written reply is false, he may file complaint before the Commission under the relevant provisions of the law. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner